Social movement impacts and strategies

Thank you for responding to this survey, we appreciate your time. We expect the survey will take around 20-25 minutes to complete. The deadline for responses is 31st May 2023.

The questions ask for your expert views on the activities and effects of social movements. Specifically, we're trying to understand expert views on the factors that make some social movements more successful than others, as well as the outcomes arising from disruptive protest. We're primarily interested in social movements in a democratic context, so ask you to respond with that in mind.

We understand that in many cases the answers will be highly context-dependent and that it might be difficult to answer in general terms. Nevertheless, we are looking for your 'best guesses' on general answers. There is also space to expand on your answers in the open text boxes, but we would emphasise that these are optional.

Your answers will remain anonymous, except where you leave additional comments and indicate you are happy for them to be attributed to you. We will publish aggregate findings and, where appropriate, non-attributed (optional) quotes.

| * 1. Do you wish your responses to be anonymous? |
|---|
| I am happy for you to attribute direct quotes to me (from the open text boxes) and appear in the list of participating scholars |
| \bigcirc I am happy to appear in a list of participating scholars, while all of my responses remain anonymous |
| Yes I want to remain fully anonymous, such that my name doesn't even appear in the list of participating scholars |
| 2. Participant Info (we will only display this if you choose not to be anonymous) |
| Full Name |
| Institution |
| |

3. The ultimate goal of social movements is often to influence national government policy or legislation. To achieve this, they may focus on a range of intermediate goals. How important are the following intermediate goals in contributing to an ultimate goal of influencing government policy or legislation?

| | Not at all important | Somewhat important | Moderately important | Quite important | Extremely important |
|--|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| Increasing issue awareness amongst the general public | \bigcirc | \circ | \bigcirc | \bigcirc | |
| Making public opinion more supportive of the issue | \bigcirc | \bigcirc | \bigcirc | \bigcirc | \bigcirc |
| Winning over elite allies (high-profile experts or celebrities) | | \bigcirc | 0 | \circ | |
| Winning over political allies (local or national policymakers) | \bigcirc | \bigcirc | \bigcirc | \bigcirc | \bigcirc |
| Gaining sympathetic media coverage | | | | | |
| Gaining backing from industry and business | \bigcirc | \bigcirc | \bigcirc | \bigcirc | \bigcirc |
| 4. (Optional) If you vecontextualise your reinfluence policy. | _ | • | | - | |

5. We are now going to ask some questions about the factors which affect the likelihood of success of a social movement. By success, we mean a continuum from tangible, measurable success such as policy wins, through to longer-term changes such as public discourse or public opinion which may have second-order effects which are hard to measure. We divide the factors into **external factors** which are those largely outside of a movement's control and **two types of internal factors**: those relating to strategy and those relating to governance, both of which lie more within a movement's control.

How important do you think the following external factors are in contributing to a social movement's success?

| | Not at all important | Somewhat important | Moderately important | Quite important | Very important |
|--|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Supportive media coverage | | | | | |
| Supportive elite allies (policymakers, high-profile experts or celebrities) | \bigcirc | | \bigcirc | \bigcirc | \bigcirc |
| A sympathetic government being in power | \circ | \bigcirc | | | |
| The nature of the political system (e.g. level of proportional representation) | \bigcirc | \bigcirc | \bigcirc | \bigcirc | \bigcirc |
| The ability to attract funding | | | \bigcirc | | |
| The current state of public opinion on the issue | \bigcirc | \bigcirc | | | \bigcirc |

6. How important do you think the following tactical and strategic factors are in contributing to a social movement's success?

| | Not at all important | Somewhat important | Moderately important | Quite important | Very important |
|--|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| A strict avoidance of violent tactics | | | | \bigcirc | |
| Having both more radical and more moderate flanks | | \bigcirc | \bigcirc | | \bigcirc |
| The strategic use of nonviolent disruptive tactics | \bigcirc | \bigcirc | 0 | | |
| A focus on achievable demands | | | | \bigcirc | |
| Having ambitious goals | \bigcirc | | | | |
| A focus on gaining media coverage (positive or negative) | \bigcirc | \bigcirc | \bigcirc | \bigcirc | \bigcirc |

| | Not at all important | Somewhat important | Moderately important | Quite important | Very important |
|---|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Having a high level of internal unity (e.g. on demands) | \circ | 0 | \circ | 0 | 0 |
| Having a broad and liverse constituency | \bigcirc | \circ | \bigcirc | \bigcirc | \bigcirc |
| Organisational capacity (e.g. ability co run large campaigns) | | | 0 | 0 | |
| Having strong eadership with clear decision-making | | \bigcirc | \bigcirc | | |
| Having lecentralised lecision-making | | \bigcirc | \bigcirc | | \bigcirc |
| Being able to mobilise and scale quickly in response to external events | | | \bigcirc | \bigcirc | |
| Having clear political goals | \bigcirc | | | | |
| Being open to collaboration | \bigcirc | | | | |
| Being willing to accept incremental wins | | | \bigcirc | | \bigcirc |
| Focusing on a narrow issue (e.g. carbon emissions) rather than broader systemic issues (e.g. global climate ustice) | 0 | | 0 | | |
| Size (number of supporters) | \bigcirc | | | | |
| (Optional) If you vontextualise your rarious external or i | esponses to th | ne previous 3 q | | | - |

9. Social movements sometimes fail to achieve significant wins, whether on policy, public opinion or other desired outcomes. This is often due to external factors but some factors lie more within a movement's control. How important are the following internal factors in threatening social movement success?

| | Not at all important | Somewhat important | Moderately important | Quite important | Very important |
|---|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|-------------------|----------------|
| Being unwilling to compromise | \bigcirc | | \bigcirc | \bigcirc | |
| Having stated goals that are too broad | \bigcirc | | \bigcirc | \bigcirc | |
| Having stated goals that are too radical | \bigcirc | \bigcirc | \circ | \bigcirc | |
| Being unable to grow | \bigcirc | | | \bigcirc | |
| Being co-opted by other groups or individuals | \bigcirc | \bigcirc | \bigcirc | | \bigcirc |
| Activists not being sufficiently engaged in the long-term | \bigcirc | \bigcirc | \bigcirc | \bigcirc | \bigcirc |
| Internal conflict or movement infighting | \bigcirc | | | | |
| Having a lack of clear political objectives | \bigcirc | \bigcirc | \bigcirc | \bigcirc | \bigcirc |
| Being too dependent on key individuals | | | | \bigcirc | \bigcirc |
| A lack of clarity on leadership and decision-making | | \bigcirc | | \bigcirc | |
| 0. (Optional) If you contextualise your r novement success, | responses to th | e previous que | stion on the la | argest threats to | - |

| | All internal factors | Mostly internal factors | Slightly more internal factors | Both equally important | Slightly more external factors | Mostly external factors | All externa |
|---|----------------------|-------------------------------|---|------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|-------------|
| increasing issue awareness amongst the general public | \circ | \bigcirc | \circ | \bigcirc | \bigcirc | | \bigcirc |
| Making public opinion more supportive of the ssue | | \bigcirc | \bigcirc | | \bigcirc | | \bigcirc |
| Winning over political allies (local or national policymakers) | | | | | | \bigcirc | |
| Winning over other elite allies (high- profile experts or celebrities) | | | | | | \bigcirc | \bigcirc |
| Gaining sympathetic media coverage of the issue | | | \bigcirc | | \bigcirc | | |
| Gaining backing From industry and ousiness | \bigcirc | \bigcirc | \bigcirc | | \bigcirc | \bigcirc | \bigcirc |
| Winning policy or egislative changes | \circ | | \bigcirc | \circ | \bigcirc | | \bigcirc |
| 2. (Optional) If your ontextualise your actors vs internations. | responses t | | _ | | | _ | |

11. This question concerns the **relative importance of internal and external factors to a social movement's success in achieving its goals.** How important do you think factors

| 13. The following questions relate to the appropriateness of social movements using |
|---|
| disruptive tactics given different levels of public support and awareness of the issue. |
| Please indicate how effective disruptive protest might be in the following contexts. |
| |
| By 'effective', we mean bringing about overall positive outcomes. In the case of the climate |
| movement, this would look like a reduction in greenhouse gas emissions, either through |
| direct effects on policy, or indirect effects on policy or individual behaviour via increased |

| | Highly ineffective | Somewhat ineffective | No overall effect | Somewhat effective | Highly effective |
|---|--------------------|----------------------|--|--------------------|------------------|
| Low awareness, low public support (e.g. the farmed animal advocacy movement) | 0 | | 0 | \bigcirc | |
| Low awareness, high public support (e.g. traffic safety) | | \bigcirc | \bigcirc | \bigcirc | \bigcirc |
| High awareness, low public support (e.g. anti-vaccination) | \circ | \circ | \circ | \circ | \circ |
| High awareness, high public support (e.g. climate change) | \bigcirc | \bigcirc | \bigcirc | \bigcirc | \bigcirc |
| | | | ny comments to ex nt levels of public | | |

| Protest impacts in your country |
|---|
| 15. In which country are you mainly based? |
| |
| 16. How would you rate the legitimacy of protest in your country? |
| Not at all legitimate |
| ○ Somewhat legitimate |
| Moderately legitimate |
| Quite legitimate |
| Completely legitimate |
| 17. Are protests becoming more or less visible in your country compared to the past? Becoming much less visible Somewhat less visible About the same Somewhat more visible Becoming much more visible |
| Decoming much more visible |
| 18. (Optional) In your view and in your country (or one you are familiar with), what has been the most successful social movement in the last 20 years? On what basis do you consider it to be successful and to what do you attribute its success? |

The climate movement

The next set of questions specifically concern the climate movements in Western Europe and the US that have been active over the last decade. In all cases, we are assuming that actions are non-violent.

19. Given your knowledge of the climate movement, we would like your views on the overall effectiveness of different climate protest tactics. By overall effectiveness, we mean a wide range of possible outcomes, including increased media coverage, shifting public opinion, policy change, movement building etc. Assuming all are non-violent and are similar in other respects (e.g. same number of participants), how effective do you think the following tactics are likely to be?

| | Highly counterproductive | Somewhat counterproductive 1 | No overall effect | Somewhat effective | Highly effective |
|--|--------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Targeting the public (e.g. blocking roads) | \bigcirc | \bigcirc | \bigcirc | \bigcirc | |
| Targeting the fossil fuel industry (e.g. blockades at oil refineries) | \bigcirc | \bigcirc | | \bigcirc | \bigcirc |
| Targeting the government (e.g., government department for climate change) | 0 | | 0 | 0 | \bigcirc |
| Targeting less related venues (e.g. art galleries or sporting events) | \bigcirc | \bigcirc | \bigcirc | \bigcirc | \circ |

| 20. We are now goin (such as a legal prot are likely to have on | est march). W | Vhat overall eff | fect do you think 1 | | |
|---|--|--|---|---|---|
| | Strongly negative | Somewhat negative | No overall effect | Somewhat positive | Strongly positive |
| Government policy | | | | | |
| Public opinion | | | | | |
| Corporate behaviour | | | | | |
| Supportive media coverage | \bigcirc | | | \bigcirc | \bigcirc |
| Support from influential individuals | | \bigcirc | \bigcirc | | \bigcirc |
| Movement-building (e.g. growing the number of people interested in joining the movement) | \bigcirc | \circ | \bigcirc | \bigcirc | \bigcirc |
| Higher salience in public discourse | | | | | \circ |
| People's behaviour | | | \bigcirc | | |
| and choices 21. We are now goin disruptive we mean | actions whic | ch, though non- | -violent, might ca | use inconver | nience to the |
| and choices 21. We are now goin | actions which such as a road are likely to Strongly | ch, though non- dblock that dis have on the fo Somewhat | -violent, might car rupts traffic). Who illowing outcomes | use inconver at overall eff , in relation Somewhat | nience to the fect do you think to the goals of |
| and choices 21. We are now goin disruptive we mean public or to others (s disruptive protests the activists? | actions which such as a road are likely to | th, though non- dblock that dis have on the fo | -violent, might car rupts traffic). Wha | at overall eff , in relation Somewhat positive | nience to the ect do you think |
| and choices 21. We are now goin disruptive we mean public or to others (significant disruptive protests) the activists? | actions which such as a road are likely to Strongly | ch, though non- dblock that dis have on the fo Somewhat | -violent, might car rupts traffic). Who illowing outcomes | use inconver at overall eff , in relation Somewhat | nience to the fect do you think to the goals of |
| and choices 21. We are now goin disruptive we mean public or to others (significant disruptive protests) the activists? Government policy Public opinion | actions which such as a road are likely to Strongly | ch, though non- dblock that dis have on the fo Somewhat | -violent, might car rupts traffic). Who illowing outcomes | at overall eff , in relation Somewhat positive | nience to the fect do you think to the goals of |
| and choices 21. We are now goin disruptive we mean public or to others (significant disruptive protests) the activists? Government policy Public opinion Corporate behaviour Supportive media | actions which such as a road are likely to Strongly | ch, though non- dblock that dis have on the fo Somewhat | -violent, might car rupts traffic). Who illowing outcomes | at overall eff , in relation Somewhat positive | nience to the fect do you think to the goals of |
| and choices 21. We are now going disruptive we mean public or to others (significant disruptive protests). The activists? Government policy Public opinion Corporate behaviour | actions which such as a road are likely to Strongly | ch, though non- dblock that dis have on the fo Somewhat | -violent, might car rupts traffic). Who illowing outcomes | at overall eff , in relation Somewhat positive | nience to the fect do you think to the goals of |
| and choices 21. We are now goin disruptive we mean public or to others (statement of the activists? Government policy Public opinion Corporate behaviour Supportive media coverage Support from influential | actions which such as a road are likely to Strongly | ch, though non- dblock that dis have on the fo Somewhat | -violent, might car rupts traffic). Who illowing outcomes | at overall eff , in relation Somewhat positive | nience to the fect do you think to the goals of |
| and choices 21. We are now goin disruptive we mean public or to others (statement of the statement of the statement of the activists? Government policy Public opinion Corporate behaviour Supportive media coverage Support from influential individuals Movement-building (e.g. growing the number of people interested in joining | actions which such as a road are likely to Strongly | ch, though non- dblock that dis have on the fo Somewhat | -violent, might car rupts traffic). Who illowing outcomes | at overall eff , in relation Somewhat positive | nience to the fect do you think to the goals of |

22. Some disruptive protests have a clear 'action logic' whereby the reason and goals of the protest is obvious (e.g. climate activists targeting fossil fuel companies). Others have less clear logic (e.g., climate activists throwing soup at paintings). This question concerns your agreement with various statements on the action logic of **disruptive** protests.

| | Strongly disagree | Somewhat disagree | Neither disagree nor agree | Somewhat agree | Strongly agree |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| Protests with strong action logic are likely to have overall positive outcomes | \circ | | | \bigcirc | \bigcirc |
| Protests with no clear logic are likely to have overall positive outcomes | \bigcirc | \bigcirc | \bigcirc | \bigcirc | \bigcirc |
| Substantial media coverage for a logical protest (e.g. blockading oil depots) is likely to be beneficial for the issue of climate change | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Substantial media coverage for an illogical protest (e.g. disrupting art galleries) is likely to be beneficial for the issue of climate change | | | | \bigcirc | \bigcirc |
| Protests with strong logic are likely to be more effective in achieving the goals of the activists than protests with no logic | 0 | | | \bigcirc | \bigcirc |

| 23. We are now going to ask your views on some potential negative consequences which |
|---|
| can arise from climate protests . By a 'backfire effect' we mean an overall negative |
| $consequence \ such \ as \ a \ reduction \ in \ public \ support \ or \ lower \ chance \ of \ policy \ implementation.$ |
| By 'polarisation' we mean an increase in highly contrasting opinions on a cause. |

| | Strongly disagree | Somewhat disagree | Neither disagree nor agree | Somewhat agree | Strongly agree | |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|----------------|--|
| Non-disruptive climate protests cause a backfire effect | \circ | \circ | 0 | \circ | \bigcirc | |
| Disruptive climate protests cause a backfire effect | \bigcirc | \bigcirc | \bigcirc | \bigcirc | \bigcirc | |
| Violent climate protests cause a backfire effect | \circ | \circ | \circ | | \circ | |
| Polarisation of climate change as an issue is likely to hinder the progress of the climate movement | \bigcirc | \bigcirc | \circ | | \bigcirc | |
| Polarisation of climate change is unavoidable | \circ | \circ | \circ | \circ | \circ | |
| | | | | | | |

| Expert survey on social movements and protest | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Final question on advice to protest movement organisers | | | | | | | |
| 25. What is the single most important piece of advice you would give to organisers of protest movements? | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Participant questions

These questions are about your background and research, to better understand the participants of this survey.

| 26. What is your primary discipline of study? |
|--|
| O Political science |
| Psychology |
| ○ Sociology |
| ○ Communications |
| Other (please specify) |
| |
| |
| 27. What is your current academic position? |
| PhD candidate |
| O Postdoctoral researcher |
| Research associate, lecturer, fellow (or equivalent) |
| Associate or assistant professor (or equivalent) |
| Professor (or higher title of special distinction) |
| Other (please specify) |
| |
| |
| 28. Below we name different aspects of social movements and protest that can be studied. |
| Tick as many as apply to your current publication record |
| Movement mobilization, recruitment and participation |
| Movement emergence |
| Movement impacts (media, public opinion, politics, et.c) |
| Movement strategies (e.g. nonviolence vs violence) |
| Protest impacts (media, public opinion, policy, etc.) |
| Other (please specify) |
| |
| |

| Often, a distinction betwee to 10, where zero means | | research is made. On a scale from means 'exclusively qualitative', he | |
|--|--|--|-----|
| 0 (exclusively qualitative) | 5 (evenly qualitative and quantitative) | 10 (exclusively quantitative) | |
| 0 | | | |
| 30. Do you primarily st context? | audy movements and protest in a | a Global South or Global North | |
| Olobal North | | | |
| Global South | | | |
| O Both equally | | | |
| Please tell us the main coun | try/countries you study | | |
| | | | |
| L | | | |
| | | involved in activism? If you used to dicate your lifetime highest level o | |
| O Not at all active (neve | r been part of an activist group, attend | ed a demonstration or relevant meeting) | |
| Somewhat active (atte | end events like demonstrations or traini | ings a few times per year) | |
| Fairly active (Attend n | neetings and events 1-2 per month) | | |
| Very active (e.g. atten | d regular meetings with an activist gro | up & volunteer with them) | |
| | | | |
| • | ibe your political beliefs? On a load 6 indicating extreme right, wh | eft-right scale from 0 to 6, with 0 here would you place yourself? | |
| 0 (extreme left) | | 6 (extreme right) | |
| | | | |
| \cup | | | |
| 33. Are you interested in please fill in your e-mail b | | ased on this survey's findings? If y | es, |
| | only be used for forwarding the ricipation is fully anonymous. | report; it will be separated from th | e |
| | erested in answering 5 additions for the animal rights movemen | al questions, the same as those abo | out |
| Yes | 1191100 1110 1011011 | | |
| ○ No | | | |

Animal advocacy protests

The next set of questions concerns the current animal advocacy movement in Western Europe and the US, which seeks to reduce meat consumption and improve animal welfare.

For context, this issue has much lower salience than climate (e.g. it is not considered in the top 20 issues facing the UK public) and also lower support (e.g. 37.1% of the Swiss electorate voted to ban factory farming; this is likely higher than many countries). We appreciate you might not have expertise in this issue, but we ask you to try to make judgments based on the above context, similarities and dissimilarities with the climate movement, and your own knowledge.

35. We would like your views on the overall effectiveness of different targets for animal advocacy protests. By overall effectiveness, we mean a wide range of possible outcomes including increased media coverage, shifting public opinion, policy change, movement building etc. Assuming all are nonviolent and are similar in other respects (e.g. same number of participants), how effective do you think the following tactics are?

| | Highly counterproductive | Somewhat counterproductive | No clear effect | Somewhat effective | Highly effective |
|--|--------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Targeting the public (e.g. blocking roads) | \bigcirc | | \bigcirc | \bigcirc | \bigcirc |
| Targeting the animal agriculture industry (e.g. blockades at meat distribution plants) | | \bigcirc | \circ | \bigcirc | \bigcirc |
| Targeting the government (e.g., government department for agriculture) | | 0 | 0 | \circ | \bigcirc |
| Targeting less related venues (e.g. art galleries or sporting events) | \bigcirc | \bigcirc | | \bigcirc | \bigcirc |

| | Strongly negative | Somewhat negative | No overall effect | Somewhat positive | Strongly positive |
|--|--|--|--|---|---------------------------------------|
| Government policy | | | | | |
| Public opinion | | | \bigcirc | | |
| Corporate behaviour | | | | | |
| Supportive media coverage | \bigcirc | \bigcirc | \bigcirc | \bigcirc | \bigcirc |
| Support from influential individuals | \bigcirc | \bigcirc | \bigcirc | | |
| Movement-building (e.g. growing the number of people interested in joining the movement) | \bigcirc | \circ | \circ | \bigcirc | \bigcirc |
| Higher salience in public discourse | \bigcirc | \circ | \circ | | \circ |
| People's behaviour | | | | | |
| rotests. By disrup convenience to th | tive we mean e public or to | actions which others (such a | though non-violes a roadblock that | nt, might ca disrupts tra | use affic). What |
| rotests. By disrup aconvenience to th verall effect do you | tive we mean e public or to a think disrup eals of the acti | actions which others (such a stive protests vists? Somewhat | though non-violes a roadblock that are likely to have | ent, might ca disrupts tra on the follo Somewhat | use affic). What wing outcomes, |
| rotests. By disrup aconvenience to th verall effect do you a relation to the go | tive we mean e public or to a think disrup eals of the acti | actions which others (such a btive protests vists? | though non-violes a roadblock that | ent, might ca disrupts tra on the follo | use affic). What |
| rotests. By disrup aconvenience to the verall effect do you a relation to the go | tive we mean e public or to a think disrup eals of the acti | actions which others (such a stive protests vists? Somewhat | though non-violes a roadblock that are likely to have | ent, might ca disrupts tra on the follo Somewhat | use affic). What wing outcomes, |
| rotests. By disrup convenience to the verall effect do you a relation to the go | tive we mean e public or to a think disrup eals of the acti | actions which others (such a stive protests vists? Somewhat | though non-violes a roadblock that are likely to have | ent, might ca disrupts tra on the follo Somewhat | use affic). What wing outcomes, |
| rotests. By disrupted convenience to the verall effect do you a relation to the good Government policy Public opinion Corporate behaviour | tive we mean e public or to a think disrup eals of the acti | actions which others (such a stive protests vists? Somewhat | though non-violes a roadblock that are likely to have | ent, might ca disrupts tra on the follo Somewhat | use affic). What wing outcomes, |
| rotests. By disrupted convenience to the verall effect do you a relation to the good convenience to th | tive we mean e public or to a think disrup eals of the acti | actions which others (such a stive protests vists? Somewhat | though non-violes a roadblock that are likely to have | ent, might ca disrupts tra on the follo Somewhat | use affic). What wing outcomes, |
| cotests. By disrup convenience to the verall effect do you relation to the go Government policy Public opinion Corporate behaviour Supportive media coverage Support from influential | tive we mean e public or to a think disrup eals of the acti | actions which others (such a stive protests vists? Somewhat | though non-violes a roadblock that are likely to have | ent, might ca disrupts tra on the follo Somewhat | use affic). What wing outcomes, |
| convenience to the verall effect do you a relation to the go a relation | tive we mean e public or to a think disrup eals of the acti | actions which others (such a stive protests vists? Somewhat | though non-violes a roadblock that are likely to have | ent, might ca disrupts tra on the follo Somewhat | use affic). What wing outcomes, |
| 7. We are now goin rotests. By disrup aconvenience to the verall effect do you a relation to the good government policy. Public opinion Corporate behaviour Supportive media coverage Support from influential individuals Movement-building (e.g. growing the number of people interested in joining the movement) Higher salience in public discourse | tive we mean e public or to a think disrup eals of the acti | actions which others (such a stive protests vists? Somewhat | though non-violes a roadblock that are likely to have | ent, might ca disrupts tra on the follo Somewhat | use affic). What wing outcomes, |

36. We are now going to ask your views about nonviolent, non-disruptive animal advocacy

38. Some disruptive protests have a clear 'action logic' (e.g., animal activists targeting industrial meat producers). Others have less clear logic (e.g., animal activists targeting football games). This question concerns your views on the action logic of disruptive protests.

| | Strongly disagree | Somewhat disagree | Neither disagree nor agree | Somewhat agree | Strongly agree |
|---|----------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| Protests with strong action logic are likely to have overall positive outcomes | | | | \bigcirc | \circ |
| Protests with no clear logic are likely to have overall positive outcomes | \bigcirc | \bigcirc | \bigcirc | \bigcirc | \bigcirc |
| Substantial media coverage for a logical protest (e.g. blockading meat distribution facilities) is likely to be beneficial for the issue of animal advocacy | | | | 0 | 0 |
| Substantial media coverage for an illogical protest (e.g. disrupting football games) is likely to be beneficial for the issue of animal advocacy | | | | 0 | |
| Protests with strong logic are likely to be more effective in achieving the goals of the activists than protests with no logic | | | | 0 | |

39. We are now going to ask your views on some **potential negative consequences** which can arise from **animal advocacy protests**. By a 'backfire effect' we mean an **overall negative** consequence e.g. a reduction in public support or lower chance of policy implementation. By 'polarisation' we mean an increase in highly contrasting opinions on a cause.

| | Strongly disagree | Somewhat disagree | Neither disagree nor agree | Somewhat agree | Strongly agree | | |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|----------------|----------------|--|--|
| Non-disruptive animal advocacy protests cause a backfire effect | \circ | | 0 | \circ | | | |
| Disruptive animal advocacy protests cause a backfire effect | \bigcirc | \bigcirc | \bigcirc | \bigcirc | \bigcirc | | |
| Violent animal advocacy protests cause a backfire effect | | | \circ | \bigcirc | \circ | | |
| Polarisation of animal rights or animal welfare is likely to hinder the progress of the animal advocacy movement | | | | \circ | 0 | | |
| Polarisation on animal advocacy is unavoidable | \circ | \circ | \circ | | \bigcirc | | |
| | | | | | | | |